arXiv:1610.01281v2 [math.CO] 25 Oct 2016

A Quantitative Local Limit Theorem for Triangles in Random Graphs

Ross Berkowitz

October 26, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we prove a quantiative local limit theorem for the distribution of the number of triangles in the Erdős-Renyi random graph $G(n,p)$, for a fixed $p \in (0,1)$. This proof is an extension of the previous work of Gilmer and Kopparty, who proved that the local limit theorem held asymptotically for triangles. Our work gives bounds on the ℓ^1 and ℓ^∞ distance of the triangle distribution from a suitable discrete normal.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the distribution of the number of triangles appearing in an Erdős-Renyi random graph $G(n,p)$ (a graph with n vertices where each edge is present independently with probability p). Recently, [5] showed a local limit theorem in this context which says that the distribution of the number of triangles approaches the discrete normal. Our main results show *quantitative* bounds, both pointwise and global, on how far the distribution of the number of triangles in a random graph can vary from a normal distribution. In particular, if $\mathcal{T}$ is the random variable corresponding to the number of triangles in $G(n,p)$ we show that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{T} = k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(k-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + O(n^{-2.5+\epsilon})$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}] = p^3\binom{n}{3}$ and $\sigma = Var(\mathcal{T})$. From this we are also able to obtain a quantitative bound on the ℓ^1 distance of $\mathcal{T}$ from a suitable discrete normal:

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \left| \Pr(\mathcal{T} = k) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(k - p^3\binom{n}{3}\right)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right| = O(n^{-.5+\epsilon})$$

1.1 History

The study of subgraph counts dates back to the very beginning of random graphs, when Erdős and Renyi proved in 1960 [4] that certain subgraph counts behaved in expected ways by using the second moment method. In the 1980's there were several papers studying which subgraph counts obeyed a central limit theorem (see [8, 7, 9]). For example, in this period a central limit theorem was shown for the triangle counting random variable $\mathcal{T}$, which stated that for any real numbers $a < b$

$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{T} \in [\mu + a\sigma_n, \mu + b\sigma_n]\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-t^2/2} dt + o(1)$$

This line of work eventually found a complete solution in the work of Ruciński [13] who gave a characterization for when subgraph counts obeyed a central limit theorem. In 1989 there was progress made on showing central limit theorems with quantitative bounds in the work of Barbour, Karoński and Ruciński [2]. Slightly afterwards Janson and Nowicki [6] gave alternate arguments for central limit theorems using the language of U-statistics using a good basis for functions on the probability space of graphs.

If the edge probability $p \sim cn$ for some constant c, then Erdős and Renyi [4] showed that the number of triangles in $G(n,p)$ converges to a Poisson distribution. This result was a local limit theorem, as it estimated the pointwise probabilities $\Pr[\mathcal{T} = k]$ for k constant. Further, Röllin and Ross [12] showed a local limit theorem when $p \sim cn^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$. In this regime they showed that the triangle counting distribution converges to a translated Poisson distribution (which is in turn close to a discrete Gaussian) in both the ℓ_∞ and total variation metrics.

In 2014, Gilmer and Kopparty [5] proved a local limit theorem for triangle counts for $G(n,p)$ in the regime where p is a fixed constant. In particular they proved that

$$\Pr[\mathcal{T} = k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(k-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right) \pm o(n^{-2})$$

It should be noted that this is largely a *qualitative* result, as the main term has size $\Theta(n^{-2})$ while the error term is $o(n^{-2})$. This type of result should also be contrasted with the central limit theorem given above. This theorem gives an estimate for the probability of having *exactly* k triangles or differing from the expected number of triangles by exactly 17. The central limit theorems estimate the probability of having a number of triangles in an interval of length proportional to the standard deviation.

The proof in [5] proceeded by using the characteristic function. The main step there was to show that $|\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)|$ is small for $t \in [-\pi\sigma_n,\ \pi\sigma_n]$, where φ represents the characteristic function of the standard normal distribution, and φ_n represents the characteristic function the triangle counting function $\mathcal{T}$.

1.2 Our Results

We improve the result of Gilmer and Kopparty by adding a quantitative estimate for the convergence of $\mathcal{T}$ to the normal. We strengthen their bound to give explicit distance bounds.

Theorem 1. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that*

$$\Pr[\mathcal{T} = k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n} e^{-\frac{\left(k - p^3\binom{n}{2}\right)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}} + O(n^{-2.5+\epsilon})$$

For $k = \mu_n + O(\sigma_n)$ this shows that $\Pr[\mathcal{T} = k]$ is within a $(1 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}))$ multiplicative factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(k-\mu)^2}{2\sigma_n^2}\right)$, while the best known previous bound could only show a factor of $(1+o(1))$. A polynomial factor is also the best possible bound, as even the binomial distribution of $\binom{n}{3}$ i.i.d. summands differs from the normal by a polynomial factor. As a consequence of Theorem 1 we also find a quantitative bound on the ℓ^1 distance between T and the normal.

Theorem 2.

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \Pr(\mathcal{T} = t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(t - p^3\binom{n}{3}\right)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right| = O(n^{-0.5+\epsilon})$$

The results in [5] were not enough to imply ℓ^1 distance bounds, so this is the first result of this kind for triangle counts. Our arguments are based on giving better bounds on the characteristic function of $\mathcal{T}$. The main improvments come from viewing the triangle counting function as a function over $\{0,1\}^{\binom{[n]}{2}}$, and choosing a suitable basis for this space of functions. This method is closely related to the method employed by Janson and Nowicki in [6]. In paricular, we will choose the p-biased Fourier basis over this space of functions given, with basis functions denoted χ_S where $S \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$. That is, each basis element is a function depending on some subset of the possible edges in our graph. The main mass of the triangle counting function will come from basis elements of the form χ_e, where e is some edge in $\binom{[n]}{2}$. In other words, we will find that $\mathcal{T}$ is highly concentrated on its weight 1 Fourier coefficients. This allows us to show that $\mathcal{T}$ may be reasonably well approximated as simply a linear function of the number of edges in the random graph. Informally, this follows the intuition that if one wanted to know how many triangles are in a fixed graph G, a reasonable estimator would be to simply ask how many edges are in the graph, and scale appropriately.

The actual estimation will be performed in two steps. First we will normalize $\mathcal{T}$ to have mean 0 and variance 1 by defining $Z := \frac{\mathcal{T}-\mu}{\sigma}$. Then we will split Z up into two pieces $Z = X + Y$, where X carries the weight 1 fourier terms which dominate Z, and Y contains the higher order terms, which we will treat as error terms. We then use as blunt a tool as the mean value theorem to estimate the characteristic function by saying $\mathbb{E}[e^{itZ}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX} + O(|tY|)]$. Since X is a sum of i.i.d. random variables and Y is small, we will get that this converges to the characteristic function of the normal distribution when t is small.

For slightly larger t we adapt this method slightly, by first revealing some k-regular subgraph and then performing our estimates given this information. This will shrink the size of Y by a factor of $(k/n)^2$, but only shrink X by a factor of k/n. This gives us a better error term, at the cost of only slightly shrinking our main term. For this part of the argument we cannot give an exact main term for $|\varphi_Z(t)|$, as we could in the first method. However for t large, because the normal has very small characteristic function it suffices simply to show that $|\varphi_Z(t)|$ is very small as well.

1.3 Organization of this Paper

In section 2 we set up our notation and introduce some facts which will be necessary for the later sections. Section 3 contains the statements and proofs of our main results, modulo the main technical lemmas. In section 4 we examine the decomposition of $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the p-biased Fourier basis, and in section 5 we exploit this decomposition to prove our main lemmas.

2 Preliminaries and Notation

We will be working with a random variable which is defined as a graph function applied to an Erdős-Renyi random graph $G(n,p)$. We will be working in the regime where our probability p is a fixed constant, and $n \to \infty$. We will realize our probability space as drawing $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ where each coordinate of $\mathbf{x}$ is labelled by an edge $e \in \binom{[n]}{2}$, and we have that for all edges, $\mathbf{x}_e$ is 0 with probability $1-p$ and 1 with probability p. $\binom{[n]}{2}$ refers equivalently to either the set of all pairs of distinct elements from $[n]$, or the set of possible edges of a graph with vertex set $[n]$.

Continuing our notation from the abstract, we use $\mathcal{T} : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{N}$ to denote the triangle counting function, which returns the number of triangles in the graph with edge set given by the indicator vector $\{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$. One might note that the random variable $\mathcal{T}$ depends on both the probability p, and the size of the vertex set n in question. We will often supress the dependence on

n and p, as we will be considering p to be fixed and our analysis will be done for a generic n, with limits only taken in the proof of the main theorem.

2.1 p-Biased Fourier Basis

To apply our analysis we use the p-biased Fourier basis for functions on this probability space. We define this as follows. For each edge $e \in \binom{[n]}{2}$ we define $\chi_e : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\chi_e := \chi_e(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\mathbf{x}_e - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\frac{p}{1-p}} & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_e = 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{p}} & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_e = 1 \end{cases}$$

This is just the transform of the bernoulli random variable $\mathbf{x}_e$ so that it has mean 0 and variance 1. Now for an arbitrary set $S \subset [n]$ we can define

$$\chi_S := \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{e \in S} \chi_e$$

We note that if we take our inner product of two functions $f, g : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be defined by $\mathbb{E}[fg]$, then $\{\chi_S \mid S \subset [n]\}$ is an orthonormal basis (See O'Donnell chapter 10 for more detail on this topic).

Therefore for any function $f : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$, if we define the Fourier transform $\hat{f} : \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$\hat{f}(S) := \mathbb{E}[f(x)\chi_S(x)]$$

then by orthonormality we have that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \subset \binom{[n]}{2}} \hat{f}(S)\chi_S(\mathbf{x})$$

2.2 Probability Terminology and Notation

In proving limit theorems, it is convenient to normalize the family of random variables to have mean 0 and variance 1, so we will usually work with the related random variable $Z_n : \{0,1\}^{\binom{[n]}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$Z_n(\mathbf{x}) := Z := \frac{\mathcal{T} - \mu}{\sigma}$$

We will frequently refer to the characteristic function of Z as $\varphi_Z(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{itZ}]$. Most of the work will be focused on studying φ_Z, and showing it is close to $e^{-x^2/2}$.

We will also throughout the paper label the variance of $\mathcal{T}$ as $\sigma^2 := \sigma_n^2 := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}]^2$. A consequence of orthonormality gives us the following result:

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}]^2 = \left(\sum_{S \subset \binom{[n]}{2}} \hat{\mathcal{T}}(S)^2 \right) - \hat{\mathcal{T}}(\varnothing)^2 = \sum_{S \neq \varnothing} \hat{\mathcal{T}}(S)^2$$

2.3 Some Graph Notation

Let G be a graph with vertex set $[n]$ and edge set $E \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$. Given a triangle $\triangle$ with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subset [n]$ we will use the notation $e \in \triangle$ to denote that e is an edge in the triangle $\triangle$ i.e. $e \in \binom{\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}}{2}$. Additionally we will occasionally identify a triangle $\triangle$ with its edge set. That is, if we have $S \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$ and we write $S = \triangle$, that means S is the edge set of some triangle.

Also we will frequently need to refer to the case where e_1 and e_2 are two edges which are incident to a common vertex (i.e. $e_1 = (v_1, v_2)$ and $e_2 = (v_2, v_3)$). We will denote this as $e_1 \sim e_2$.

2.4 Notation for function restrictions

Often we will have a function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and we will want to refer to the function obtained from f by restricting some input coordinates to have certain values. In particular assume that we have $H \subset [n]$ some fixed subset of input variables. Then for $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{H^c}$ we will define $f_\beta : \{0,1\}^H \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_\beta(\alpha) = f(\alpha, \beta)$$

.

2.5 Ingredients for the Proof

In this section we cite some very useful results from prior work. We will need the following Hypercontractivity result which bounds the probability that a low degree boolean function deviates from its mean.

Theorem 3 (O'Donnel [10] Theorem 10.24)**.** *Let $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial of degree k, and $\lambda := \min(p, 1-p)$. If $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ is chosen by setting each coordinate independently to be 1 with probability p and 0 with probability $1-p$ then for any $t \geq \sqrt{2e/\lambda}^k$,*

$$\Pr\left(|f(x)| \geq t\|f\|_2\right) \leq \lambda^k \exp\left(-\frac{k}{2e}\lambda t^{\frac{2}{k}}\right)$$

We will also use some of the existing bounds on the characteristic function of $\mathcal{T}$, which were derived in Gilmer-Kopparty. We slightly modify their result to have a different choice of numbers, but the proof remains unchanged.

Lemma 1 (GK [5] Theorem 5)**.** *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. If $\varphi_n(t)$ is the characteristic function of $Z = \frac{\mathcal{T} - p^3\binom{n}{3}}{\sigma}$, then for $|t| \in [n^{.5+\epsilon}, \pi\sigma_n]$ it holds that $|\varphi_n(t)| = O(|t|^{-50})$.*

We will frequently deal with Bernoulli random variables, and so the following bound on their characteristic function will be useful.

Lemma 2. *Let X be the mean 0 variance 1 random variable taking the values*

$$\chi_e := \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\frac{p}{1-p}} & \text{with probability } 1-p \\ \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{p}} & \text{with probability } p \end{cases}$$

Then for $t < 2p(1-p)\pi$ we have that $|\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]| < 1 - \frac{2t^2}{\pi^2}$.

Proof. Let Y be the random variable taking the value -1 with probability p and 1 with probability $1-p$. Y has variance $4p(1-p)$, and $X = \frac{Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]}{2\sqrt{p(1-p)}}$. Define $\tilde{t} := \frac{t}{2\sqrt{p(1-p)}}$. So we can compute that the above claim is equivalent to showing that for $t < \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have that $|\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]| < 1 - p(1-p)t^2$.

$$|\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}]|^2 = \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\tilde{t}Y}\right]\right|^2 = |pe^{-i\tilde{t}} + (1-p)e^{i\tilde{t}}|^2 = \|(\cos(\tilde{t}),\ (1-2p)\sin(\tilde{t}))\|^2$$
$$= 1 - 4p(1-p)\sin^2(\tilde{t}) \leq 1 - \frac{16p(1-p)}{\pi^2}\tilde{t}^2 \leq 1 - \frac{4t^2}{\pi^2}$$

where we used the fact that $|\sin(x)| \geq \frac{2|x|}{\pi}$ for $|x| \leq \frac{pi}{2}$. Lastly noticing that $\sqrt{1-x} \leq 1 - \frac{x}{2}$ completes the proof. □

3 Main Results

Here we give the high level proof of our main results, deferring the proofs of the important lemmas to the next section. First, we need the following standard theorem from probability.

3.1 Local Limit Theorem for $\mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 4 (Fourier Inversion Formula for Lattices)**.** *Let X be a random variable supported in $b + h\mathbb{Z}$, and let $\varphi(t)$ be the characteristic function of X. Then for $x \in b + h\mathbb{Z}$*

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \frac{h}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} e^{-itx}\varphi_X(t)dt$$

As a consequence of this lemma we can turn characteristic function bounds for sequences of random variables into statements about their limiting distribution.

Lemma 3. *Let Y be the standard normal distribution which has density $\mathcal{N}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$ and characteristic function $\varphi(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$. Let X_n be a sequence of random variables supported in the lattices $\mathcal{L}_n = b_n + h_n\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$|h_n\mathcal{N}(x) - \mathbb{P}(X_n = x)| \leq h_n\left(\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h_n}}^{\frac{\pi}{h_n}} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)|\,dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right)$$

Proof. By the general (that is, not the lattice version above) inversion principle for characteristic functions, we have $\mathcal{N}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx}\varphi(t)dt$. By the above theorem we have that $\mathbb{P}(X_n = x) = \frac{h_n}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h_n}}^{\frac{\pi}{h_n}} e^{-itx}\varphi_n(t)dt$. So we have that

$$|h_n\mathcal{N}(x) - \mathbb{P}(X_n = x)| = \left|\frac{h_n}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx}\varphi(t)dt - \frac{h_n}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h_n}}^{\frac{\pi}{h_n}} e^{-itx}\varphi_n(t)dt\right|$$
$$\leq \left|\frac{h_n}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h_n}}^{\frac{\pi}{h_n}} e^{-itx}\left(\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)\right)dt\right| + \left|\frac{h_n}{2\pi}\int_{|t|>\frac{\pi}{h_n}} e^{-itx}\varphi(t)dt\right|$$
$$\leq h_n\left(\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h_n}}^{\frac{\pi}{h_n}} |\varphi(t) - \varphi_n(t)|\,dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right)$$

□

The main calculation of this paper is the following theorem, whose proof is given in Section 5.

Theorem 5. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $Z := \frac{\mathcal{T} - p^3\binom{n}{3}}{\sigma}$, and $\varphi_Z(t)$ be the characteristic function of Z. Then*

$$\int_{-\pi\sigma_n}^{\pi\sigma_n} \left| \varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}} \right| = O(n^{-.5+\epsilon})$$

We can now prove our main claim, Theorem 1, as it is elementarily equivalent to the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{L}_n := \frac{1}{\sigma_n}(\mathbb{Z} - p^3\binom{n}{3})$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{L}_n$ we have that*

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Z_n = x) - \frac{\mathcal{N}(x)}{\sigma_n} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2.5-\epsilon}}\right)$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 3 to Z, combined with the estimate for the characteristic function of Z given by Theorem 6. □

3.2 Bounds on the Statistical Distance of $\mathcal{T}$ from Normal

We give a lemma which will allow us to turn the L^∞ bounds we obtain into bounds on the statistical difference of $\mathcal{T}$ from the normal.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the density of the standard normal and $\varphi(t)$ its characteristic function. Let X_n be a sequence of random variables supported in the lattice $\mathcal{L}_n := b_n + h_n\mathbb{Z}$, and with chf's φ_n. Assume that the following hold:*

1. $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| < \delta_n h_n$
2. $\Pr(|X_n| > A) \leq \epsilon_n$

Then $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} |\Pr(X_n = x) - \mathcal{N}(x)| \leq 2A\delta_n + \epsilon_n + \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}A} e^{\frac{-A^2}{2}}$.

Proof. We directly compute that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| &\leq \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_n \\ |x| < A}} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| + \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_n \\ |x| \geq A}} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_n \\ |x| < A}} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| + \Pr(X_n \geq A) + h_n \int_{|x| > A-1} \mathcal{N}(x)dx \\
&\leq \frac{2A}{h_n}\delta_n h_n + \epsilon_n + \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}A} e^{\frac{-A^2}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now use this to give a proof that the statistical distance between triangle counts and discrete normal variable is asymptotically small. We will pick $A := \log^2(n)$. By an application of hypercontractivity (Theorem 3) we find that

$$\Pr(|Z_n| > \log^2(n)) \leq e^{-\Omega_p(\log^2(n))} = n^{-\Omega_p(\log(n))} = o\left(n^{-.5}\right)$$

This bounds the ϵ_n term in the above theorem. We also have from Corollary 1 that $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} |\Pr(X_n = x) - h_n\mathcal{N}(x)| = O(n^{-2.5+\epsilon})$. Combining this with the calculation that $\sigma_n = \Theta(n^2)$ we obtain the following corollary, which is equivalent to Theorem 2:

Corollary 2. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}_n := \frac{1}{\sigma_n}(\mathbb{Z} - p^3\binom{n}{3})$. Then*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} \left| \Pr(Z = x) - \frac{1}{\sigma}\mathcal{N}(x) \right| = O(n^{-.5+\epsilon})$$

Proof. In the above Lemma for $X_n = \mathcal{T}_n$ we have that $h_n = \sigma_n$. We may take $\delta_n := n^{-.5+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ by Corollary 1, and we may fix $A = \log^2(n)$ as above. Then as argued above $\epsilon_n = O(n^{-.5})$ while $e^{-\frac{A^2}{2}}$ is miniscule. Plugging these choices into the bound given by Lemma 4 gives the desired estimate. □

4 Properties of the Triangle Counting Function

In this section we express the triangle counting function in the p-biased Fourier basis, and compute some basic properties.

Given a particular triangle $\triangle$ with vertex set v_1, v_2, v_3, we will use the notation $e \in \triangle$ to denote that e is an edge in the given triangle $\triangle$. The indicator function of this triangle's presence given the graph with edge indicator vector $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\triangle}(\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{e \in \triangle} \mathbf{x}_e = \prod_{e \in \triangle} \left(\sqrt{p(1-p)}\chi_e(\mathbf{x}) + p \right) \\ &= p^3 + p^2\sqrt{p(1-p)} \sum_{e \in \triangle} \chi_e + p^2(1-p) \sum_{e_1 \neq e_2 \in \triangle} \chi_{\{e_1,e_2\}} + (p(1-p))^{\frac{3}{2}} \chi_{\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}} \end{aligned}$$

Given two edges every edge appears in $n-2$ triangles, and each pair of edges appear in exactly 1 triangle iff they are incident to a common vertex (an event which we denote by $e_1 \sim e_2$) we find by summing over all possible triangles that

$$\mathcal{T} = p^3\binom{n}{3} + (n-2) \sum_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}} p^2\sqrt{(p)(1-p)}\chi_e + \sum_{e_1 \sim e_2} p^2(1-p)\chi_{\{e_1,e_2\}} + \sum_{\triangle} p^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-p)^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi_{\triangle}$$

Restated we have found the Fourier Transform of $\mathcal{T}$ and it has the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}(S) = \begin{cases} p^3\binom{n}{3} & \text{if } S = \varnothing \\ (n-2)p^2\sqrt{(p)(1-p)} & \text{if } |S| = 1 \\ p^2(1-p) & \text{if } S = \{e_1, e_2\},\ e_1 \sim e_2 \\ p^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-p)^{\frac{3}{2}} & \text{if } S = \triangle \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We compute the variance of $\mathcal{T}$ using the orthonormality of our basis (or Parseval) to be

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 :&= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}]^2 = \sum_{\substack{S \subset \binom{[n]}{2} \\ S \neq \varnothing}} \hat{T}^2(S) \\ &= \sum_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}} \left((n-2)p^2\sqrt{(p)(1-p)} \right)^2 + \sum_{e_1 \sim e_2} \left(p^2(1-p) \right)^2 + \sum_{\triangle} \left(p^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-p)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^2 \\ &= \binom{n}{2}(n-2)^2p^5(1-p) + 3\binom{n}{3}p^4(1-p)^2 + \binom{n}{3}p^3(1-p)^3 \\ &= \Theta(n^4) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It should be noted that asymptotically we have $\sigma \sim \frac{p^{5/2}(1-p)^{1/2}}{2} n^2$. Also it is significant that the main term in the above expansion of σ^2 comes entirely from terms of the form χ_e, for a singleton set containing one edge e. This shows that $\mathcal{T}$ has Fourier spectrum highly concentrated on degree 1. In particular, if we define $W^1 := \sum_e \hat{\mathcal{T}}^2(e)$ then $\sigma^2 = W^1(1 + O(\frac{1}{n}))$.

Recall that we defined $Z := \frac{\mathcal{T}-\mu}{\sigma} = \frac{\mathcal{T}-p^3\binom{n}{3}}{\sigma}$. By construction Z has mean 0 and variance 1. The fourier decomposition of Z is just a normalized version of $\mathcal{T}$. In particular $\hat{Z}(S) = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{T}}(S)}{\sigma}$ if $S \neq \varnothing$, and $\hat{Z}(\varnothing) = 0$.

5 Estimating the Characteristic Function of Z

5.1 Main Results of the Section

In this section we prove the following bound

Theorem 6. *Let $Z := \frac{\mathcal{T}-p^3\binom{n}{3}}{\sigma}$, and $\varphi_Z(t)$ be the characteristic function of Z. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\int_{-\pi\sigma_n}^{\pi\sigma_n} \left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}}\right| = O_\epsilon(n^{.5-\epsilon})$$

The work is done over 3 sections, each corresponding to different sizes of t. In Section 5.2 we prove the following bound which, while true for all t, is most useful for smaller values of t

Lemma 5.

$$\left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| = O\left(\frac{t^3 e^{-\frac{t^2}{3}}}{n} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Subsequently in Section 5.3 we prove the result for "mid-sized" t that

Lemma 6. *Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then*

$$\int_{n^\epsilon}^{n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}} |\varphi_Z(t)|dt \leq O_\epsilon(n^{-.5+\epsilon})$$

Lastly for $|t| \geq n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$ we simply cite Lemma 1. Combining all these results immediately gives Thoerem 6. For completeness we give the proof.

Proof of Theorem 6.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi\sigma_n}^{\pi\sigma_n} \left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}}\right| &= \int_{|t|<n^{0.05}} \left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}}\right| + \int_{n^{0.05}<|t|<n^{.5+\frac{\epsilon}{10}}} \left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}}\right| \\
&\quad + \int_{n^{.5+\frac{\epsilon}{10}}<|t|<\pi\sigma_n} \left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{\frac{-t^2}{2}}\right| \\
&\leq \int_{|t|<n^\epsilon} O\left(\frac{t^3 e^{-\frac{t^2}{3}}}{n} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) dt + O_{p,\epsilon}(n^{-.5+\epsilon}) + O(n^{-50}) + 2\left|\int_{n^\epsilon}^{\pi\sigma_n} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt\right| \\
&= O_\epsilon(n^{-0.5+2\epsilon})
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2 Bounds for small t

In this section we prove the following result

Lemma 5.

$$\left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| = O\left(\frac{t^3 e^{-\frac{t^2}{3}}}{n} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

This shows that the characteristic function of Z is very close to that of $N(0,1)$ for small t. In that regard this result is a generalization of a central limit theorem for T, which is equivalent to the pointwise convergence of $\varphi_Z(t)$ to $e^{-t^2/2}$.

Proof. We can decompose Z into two parts, the dominant weight one part X, and a smaller term corresponding to fourier coefficients of weight ≥ 2. In particular let $Q := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}}$. Then we define

$$X := \sum_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}} Q\chi_e \qquad\qquad Y := \sum_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}} (\hat{Z}(e) - Q)\chi_e + \sum_{|S| \geq 2} \hat{Z}(S)\chi_S$$

First we examine X. It is the mean 0 variance 1 sum of independent random variables, and so by Berry-Esseen (see Petrov [11], Chapter V lemma 1) we know that if

$$L_n := \binom{n}{2}\mathbb{E}[|Q\chi_e|^3] = \binom{n}{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-2p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}$$

then for $t \leq \frac{1}{4L_n}$ we have that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}] - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| \leq 16 L_n |t|^3 e^{\frac{-t^2}{3}} \tag{3}$$

Now we turn our attention to Y. Y is best thought of as an error term. It is where the dependence of our random variable Z lives, and it will be always very small. In particular, using Cauchy-Schwarz and the orthogonality of our basis we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\,|Y| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\,|Y|^2} = var(Y) = \sum_e (\hat{Z}(e) - Q)^2 + \sum_{|S| \geq 2} \hat{Z}^2(S)$$

We know from prior calculations that

$$\sum_{|S| \geq 2} \hat{Z}^2(S) = \frac{3\binom{n}{3}p^4(1-p)^2 + \binom{n}{3}p^3(1-p)^3}{\sigma^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Further we can estimate

$$\binom{n}{2}\sigma^2\hat{Z}^2(e) - \sigma^2 = \binom{n}{2}\hat{T}^2(e) - \sigma^2 = O(n^3) \implies \hat{Z}^2(e) - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} = O(n^{-3})$$

Therefore using the fact that $(x-y) = (x^2-y^2)/(x+y)$ coupled with the observation that $\hat{Z}(e) + Q = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, we find that

$$|\hat{Z}(e) - Q| \leq \left|\frac{\hat{Z}^2(e) - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}}{\hat{Z}(e) + Q}\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

So as a result we can conclude that $var(Y) = O(1/n)$ and so $\mathbb{E}[|Y|] = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. Now we are ready for our characteristic function bound for Z. If $|t| \leq \frac{1}{4L_n} = \Theta_p(n)$ then combining the above with equation 3 yields.

$$\left|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| = \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{itZ}\right] - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| = \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{it(X+Y)}\right] - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| \leq \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX}\right] - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}\right| + \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{itX+Y}\right] - \mathbb{E}\, e^{itX}\right|$$
$$\leq 16L_n|t|^3 e^{\frac{-t^2}{3}} + \mathbb{E}\,|tY| = O\left(\frac{t^3 e^{-\frac{t^2}{3}}}{n} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

The last inequality comes from simply applying the mean value theorem to the function e^{itx}. The first term in the error is dominated for any choice of t, and so we can simplify the error to $|\varphi_Z(t) - e^{-t^2/2}| = O(tn^{-1/2})$. □

5.3 Bounds for slightly larger t

Here we perform the same operation as above, except we first reveal a fraction of the edges. The intuition behind this is that revealing a q fraction of the edges will reduce the number of edge variables over which we take our expectation by q, but it will reduce the influence of larger sets by even more, namely by $q^{|S|} \geq q^2$. Thus in the above estimate when we decompose Z into $X + Y$ we will find that Y will be significantly smaller, allowing us to get a better estimate.

For any natural number k, we can take H to be a k-regular bipartite graph on n vertices. Then it makes sense to talk about the restriction of Z to the variables in H. That is we are *revealing* the edges in H^c to be some vector $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{H^c}$, and consider the function $Z_\beta : \{0,1\}^H \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $Z_\beta(\alpha) = Z(\alpha, \beta)$. First we note that by the law of total probability we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{itZ}] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\beta\in\{0,1\}^{H^c}} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^H}[e^{itZ_\beta(\alpha)}]$$

So now we turn our attention to examining the form Z_β takes for a typical restriction β. First let us consider what happens to a generic basis function χ_S (For a general consideration of how restriction interacts with fourier bases (particularly in the case of $p = \frac{1}{2}$) see [10] Chapter 3.3). If we split S as $S = S_H \cup S_{H^c}$ where $S_H \subset H$ and $S_H^c \subset H^c$ then

$$(\chi_S)_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_{S_{H^c}}(\beta)\chi_{S_H}(\mathbf{x})$$

So we can use this to compute the Fourier transform of $Z_\beta : \{0,1\}^H \to \mathbb{R}$. For an arbitrary $S \subset H$ we will have that

$$\widehat{Z_\beta}(S) = \sum_{T\subset H^c} \chi_T(\beta)\hat{Z}(S \cup T) \tag{4}$$

If we fix S, and think of β as an input, then $\widehat{Z_\beta}(S)$ can be viewed as a function of β, $\widehat{Z_\beta}(S) : \{0,1\}^{H^c} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Claim 1. *Let A be the event (over the space of revelations $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{H^c}$) that for* every *edge $e \in H$ we have that*

$$|\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) - \hat{Z}(e)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{0.6}}{\sigma}$$

Let $\lambda := \min(p, 1-p)$. Then $\Pr(A) \geq 1 - n^2\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda n^{.01}}$.

Claim 2. *Assume $\beta \in A$. Then*

$$|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \subset H}[e^{itZ_\beta(\alpha)}]| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{kt^2n^3}{4\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{4|t|n\binom{k}{2}}{\sigma^2}$$

Assuming these two claims we can prove the main result for this subsection.

Lemma 6. *Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then*

$$\int_{n^\epsilon}^{n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}} |\varphi_Z(t)|dt \leq O_\epsilon(n^{-.5+\epsilon})$$

Proof. Let A, as in Claim 1, be the event that for all $e \in H$ we have that $|\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) - \hat{Z}(e)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{0.6}}{\sigma}$. We can break up $\{0,1\}^{H^c}$ into A and A^c and estimate

$$|\varphi_Z(t)| := \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{(\alpha,\beta)\in 2^{\binom{n}{2}}}[e^{itZ(\alpha,\beta)}] \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\beta \subset H^c} |\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \subset H}[e^{itZ_\beta(\alpha)}]| \leq \Pr(A) + (1 - \Pr(A)) \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\beta \in A^c} \left|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha}[e^{itZ_\beta}]\right|$$

Now combining Claims 1 and 2 we find that

$$\Pr(A^c) + (Pr(A)) \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\beta \in A} \left|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha}[e^{itZ_\beta}]\right| \leq \lambda^2 n^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{e}n^{0.1}} + \exp\left(-\frac{kt^2n^3}{4\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{2k|t|\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$$

We may choose k to be an integer of size $n\lceil |t|^{-2+\epsilon}\rceil$ (which may be done for $0 < |t| \leq n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}$). Recalling that $\sigma = \Theta(n^2)$ we find that

$$|\varphi_Z(t)| = O\left(n^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{e}n^{0.1}} + \exp\left(-\frac{-t^\epsilon n^4}{4\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{1}{|t|^{1-\epsilon}\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Using this we may make the following estimate

$$\int_{n^\epsilon}^{n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}} |\varphi_Z(t)|dt \leq O\left(n^{2+\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{e}n^{0.1}} + n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}} \exp\left(-n^\epsilon\right) + \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}t^\epsilon n^{-.5}\right]_{n^\epsilon}^{n^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}}}\right) = O_\epsilon\left(n^{-.5+\epsilon}\right)$$

□

5.3.1 Proof Of Claims 1 and 2

Claim 1. *Let A be the event (over the space of revelations $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{H^c}$) that for* every *edge $e \in H$ we have that*

$$|\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) - \hat{Z}(e)| < \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{0.6}}{\sigma}$$

Let $\lambda := \min(p, 1-p)$. Then $\Pr(A) \geq 1 - n^2\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda n^{.01}}$.

We prove Claim 1 by noting that the formula for $\widehat{Z_\beta}(S)$ (a coefficient in the polynomial Z_β) is *itself* a low degree polynomial, and therefore may be shown to have tight concentration by Theorem 3.

Proof Of Claim 1. Recall equation 4 which states that

$$\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) = \sum_{T \subset H^c} \hat{Z}(e \cup T)\chi_T(\beta)$$

$\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) : \{0,1\}^{H^c} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial (in the functions χ_e), and we can began by estimating its coefficients. First we see that

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)] = \widehat{\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)}(\varnothing) = \hat{Z}(e)$$

Also for any $T \subset \{0,1\}^{H^c}$ we know that $\hat{Z}(e \cup T) \neq 0$ iff e and T are in a common triangle. There are at most $3(n-2)$ choices of T (corresponding to completing the $n-2$ triangles containing the edge e). Therefore Combining this with the fact that $\hat{Z}(S') \leq \sigma^{-1}$ for all sets of size $|S'| \geq 2$ we find that

$$var_\beta(\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)) = \sum_{\substack{T \subset H^c \\ T \neq \varnothing}} \hat{Z}(e \cup T)^2 \leq \frac{3(n-2)}{\sigma^2}$$

Since $\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)$ has degree 2, an application of Theorem 3 gives us that for any $e \in H$ if we set $\lambda = \min(p, 1-p)$ then

$$\Pr\left[\left|\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) - \hat{Z}(e)\right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{0.6}}{\sigma}\right] < \lambda^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda n^{0.1}}{e}\right)$$

Applying a union bound over all edges in H completes the proof. □

Claim 2 is concerned with estimating $|\mathbb{E}[e^{itZ_\beta}]|$, given that β is a typical, well behaved revelation. When β is well behaved Z_β will be dominated by a sum of independent monomials, and so the proof proceeds in a manner very similar to the arguments in Section 5.2.

Claim 2. *Recall A is the event in 2^{H^c} such that for all edges $e \in H$ we have $\left|\widehat{Z_\beta}(e) - \hat{Z}(e)\right| \geq \sqrt{3}n^{\cdot 6}$ (that is, the set of all revelations of the edges of H^c which are well behaved).*

Assume $\beta \in A$. Then

$$|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \subset H}[e^{itZ_\beta(\alpha)}]| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{kt^2n^3}{4\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{2k|t|\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$$

Proof of Claim 2. Assume that $\beta \in A$. Let X and Y be

$$X := \sum_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}} \widehat{Z_\beta}(e)\chi_e \qquad\qquad Y := \sum_{|S| \geq 2} \widehat{Z_\beta}(S)\chi_S$$

then $Z_\beta = X + Y$, and we will be able to obtain bounds similar to our previous ones. In particular X is the sum of indpendent random variables so if for each e we define $Q_e := \widehat{Z_\beta}(e)$ then we will have because of our assumptions that $\frac{n}{2\sigma} \leq \hat{Z}(e) - \frac{\sqrt{3}n^{\cdot 6}}{\sigma} \leq Q_e \leq \frac{2n}{\sigma}$

Using Lemma 2 we find that

$$|\mathbb{E}[e^{it\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)\chi_e}]| \leq 1 - \frac{t^2n^2}{2\pi^2\sigma^2} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2n^2}{2\pi^2\sigma^2}\right)$$

So now we find that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{itX}] = \prod_{e \in H} \mathbb{E}[\exp\left(it\widehat{Z_\beta}(e)\chi_e\right)] \leq \exp\left(-\sum_{e \in H}(t\widehat{Z_\beta(e)})^2\right) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{e \in H} -\frac{t^2n^2}{\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{kt^2n^3}{4\pi^2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Now we turn our attention to Y. If $|S| = 2$ with $S = \{e_1, e_2\}$ then $\hat{Z}(S)$ is 0 unless $e_1 \sim e_2$, and therefore e_1, e_2 lie in a common triangle $\triangle = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. However this is the only triangle containing S, and so we can quickly compute using equation 4, and the fact for $|S| \geq 2$ we have $|\hat{Z}(S)| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}$ (see equation 1 and normalize to obtain Z) that

$$\widehat{Z_\beta}(S) = \sum_{T \subset H^c} \chi_T(\beta)\hat{Z}(S \cup T) = \chi_\varnothing(\beta)\hat{Z}(S) + \chi_{e_3}(\beta)\hat{Z}(\triangle) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma}$$

So we can compute, again using Cauchy Schwartz and the fact that H is k-regular that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y|]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|^2] = \sum_{\substack{e_1 \sim e_2 \\ e_1, e_2 \in H}} \widehat{Z_\beta}^2(S) \leq n\binom{k}{2}\frac{4}{\sigma^2}$$

Combining this information, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \in 2^H}[e^{itZ_\beta(\alpha)}]\right| = \left|\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha}[e^{it(X+Y)}]\right| &\leq \left|\mathbb{E}[e^{itX} + |tY|]\right| \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{kt^2n^3}{2\pi^2\sigma^2}\right) + |t|\sqrt{\binom{k}{2}n\frac{(2)^2}{\sigma^2}}\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] A. D. Barbour. Poisson convergence and random graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 92(2):349–359, 1982.

[2] A. D. Barbour, Michał Karoński, and Andrzej Ruciński. A central limit theorem for decomposable random variables with applications to random graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 47(2):125–145, 1989.

[3] Rick Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, fourth edition, 2010.

[4] P. Erdős and A. Rényi. On the evolution of random graphs. *Bull. Inst. Internat. Statist.*, 38:343–347, 1961.

[5] Justin Gilmer and Swastik Kopparty. A local central limit theorem for the number of triangles in a random graph. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2014.

[6] Svante Janson and Krzysztof Nowicki. The asymptotic distributions of generalized U-statistics with applications to random graphs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 90(3):341–375, 1991.

[7] Michał Karoński. *Balanced subgraphs of large random graphs*, volume 7 of *Seria Matematyka [Mathematics Series]*. Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu, Poznań, 1984. With a Polish summary.

[8] Michał Karoński and Andrzej Ruciński. On the number of strictly balanced subgraphs of a random graph. In *Graph theory (Łagów, 1981)*, volume 1018 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 79–83. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[9] Krzysztof Nowicki and John C. Wierman. Subgraph counts in random graphs using incomplete U-statistics methods. In *Proceedings of the First Japan Conference on Graph Theory and Applications (Hakone, 1986)*, volume 72, pages 299–310, 1988.

[10] Ryan O'Donnell. *Analysis of boolean functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.

[11] V. V. Petrov. *Sums of independent random variables*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. Translated from the Russian by A. A. Brown, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 82.

[12] Adrian Röllin and Nathan Ross. Local limit theorems via Landau-Kolmogorov inequalities. *Bernoulli*, 21(2):851–880, 2015.

[13] Andrzej Ruciński. When are small subgraphs of a random graph normally distributed? *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 78(1):1–10, 1988.